# PRIMARY PRODUCTION OF PLOTS OF FIVE YOUNG CLOSE-SPACED FAST-GROWING TREE SPECIES, II. ABOVE-GROUND BIOMASS, NUTRIENT AND ENERGY CONTENT

# Sarayudh Bunyavejchewin\*, Somboon Kiratiprayoon\* and Tanapong Kumpun\*\*

### ABSTRACT

Five-year-old Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Leucaena leucocephala, Cassia siamea, Azadirachta indica var. siamensis and Acacia auriculaeformis plots contained 109, 103, 48, 52 and 45 tonnes of above-ground biomass per ha, respectively. Energy content ranged from  $443 \times 10^6$  kcal/ha for E. camaldulensis to 192 kcal/ha for A. auriculaeformis. In the 5 species, the nutrient content per unit of energy varied from the same order of magnitude for phosphorus to 2 times different for nitrogen, potassium and magnesium.

### INTRODUCTION

In most rural areas, about 90 percent of the people depend on firewood as their main source of fuel. People in some areas are facing a critical firewood shortage, lacking wood for cooking and heating.

Energy plantations have been attempted by the Royal Forest Department, but sound planning for this programme needs more information on various fast-growing tree species. Since the potential of an energy programme depends on estimates of the dry matter content, the aims of the present study were to present data for dry matter, nutrient and energy content of the above-ground portions of 5 fast-growing tree species (Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh., Leucaena leucocephala de Wit, Cassia siamea Britt., Azadirachta indica Juss. var. siamensis Valeton and Acacia auriculaeformis Cunn.). This work was carried out to provide a basis for estimating production of species suitable for growing firewood for rural needs. The data obtained are also applicable to plantations for fueling small industries or for pulp production.

# METHODS

Field handling of samples was described in the preceding paper (BUNYAVEJ-CHEWIN & KIRATIPRAYOON, 1989). Dry weights of components of each species were

<sup>\*</sup> Forest Ecology Section, Silvicultural Research Sub-division Division of Silviculture, Royal Forest Department, Bangkhen, Bangkok 10900.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Khao Soi Doa Seed Orchard, Silvicultural Research Sub-division Division of Silviculture, Royal Forest Department, Bangkhen, Bangkok 10900.

calculated from regression equations with diameter at breast height as the independent variable (Tables 2-6 in BUNYAVEJCHEWIN & KIRATIPRAYOON, 1989). Stem weights of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  and 4-year-old plots were estimated by using regression equations for  $1\frac{1}{2}$  and 3-year-old trees, respectively. Branch and foliage mass at ages  $2\frac{1}{2}$  and 4 years were estimated by using pooled equations (Table 7 in preceding paper).

For nutrient analysis, samples of stem, branch and foliage of each species at age 5 years were ground up to pass a 2-mm mesh sieve. Subsamples were digested using mixed acids (HNO<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-HClO<sub>4</sub>, 5:1:2). Nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method and phosphorus by the vanadomolybdate method. Potassium was determined by flame photometer. Calcium and magnesium were determined by atomic absorption. Energy values were measured with an adiabatic bomb calorimeter.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trees in the  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to 3-year-old L. leucocephala plots had outgrown those in the other species plots in dbh while the largest dbh's were found in E. camaldulensis for ages 4 and 5. L. leucocephala attained the greatest height at all ages (Table 1).

The nutrient concentrations in the various components of the 5 species were broadly comparable and tended to decrease in the order of foliage, branch and stem (Table 2). The same ordering has been found in various species in other studies (MADGWICK et al., 1981, 1982; PETMAK, 1983). All components of E. camaldulensis had lower nitrogen concentration than the other species and all foliage of legume species contained high concentrations of nutrients.

Dry matter, nutrient and energy content for components of all species are given in Tables 3 and 4. Stem and branch biomass were found to increase with age in all species. A similar trend is apparent in foliage biomass of *E. camaldulensis* and *A. auriculaeformis*. Foliage biomass of *L. leucocephala*, *C. siamea* and *A. indica* var. siamensis tend to remain constant across the age range.

Stem biomass of L. leucocephala plots was 24, 38 and 57 t/ha at ages  $1\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  and 3 years, respectively, which was the highest of all species. At age 5 years, E. camaldulensis achieved the highest biomass 97 t/ha. Stem biomass of a 5-year-old plot of E. camaldulensis was about 3 times that of A. auriculaeformis and about twice those of C. siamea and A. indica var. siamensis. Stem biomass of a 4-year-old plot of E. camaldulensis in this study was 71 t/ha which was greater than that found for E. fastigata and E. nitens of the same age and planting distance in New Zealand (Madgwick et al., 1981), and also greater than for a 5 to 11-year-old plantation of E. grandis in New South Wales (BRADSTOCK, 1981). The stem weight was also greater than that of E. camaldulensis in an intercropping trial plot with spacing of  $2 \times 4$  m in northeast Thailand (CAKRAPHOLWARARIT, 1985).

Although L. leucocephala carried more branch biomass than other species, A. auriculaeformis had the highest ratio of branch to stem (0.35), compared with C. siamea (0.26), L. leucocephala (0.25), A. indica var. siamensis (0.12) and

Table 1. Mean dbh (cm) ± standard deviation, and mean total height (m) ± standard deviation, in plots of 5 species at various ages.

| Species                  | Dimen- | Age (years)     |                 |                 |                 |                  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
|                          | sion   | 1 1/2           | 21/2            | 3               | 4               | 5                |  |  |  |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis | dbh    | 3.69 ± 0.93     | 5.32 ± 1.25     | 5.37 ± 1.34     | 6.46±1.72       | 6.62 ± 1.81      |  |  |  |
|                          | h      | N.D.            | N.D.            | $7.19 \pm 1.90$ | $8.40 \pm 1.16$ | 9.49 ± 2.97      |  |  |  |
| Leucaena leucocephala    | dbh    | $4.55 \pm 1.14$ | 5.51 ± 1.45     | 5.64 ± 1.30     | $6.04 \pm 1.40$ | $6.20 \pm 1.67$  |  |  |  |
|                          | h      | N.D.            | N.D.            | $7.59 \pm 1.68$ | $9.01 \pm 1.45$ | $10.47 \pm 1.82$ |  |  |  |
| Cassia siamea            | dbh    | $3.80 \pm 1.29$ | 4.48 ± 1.49     | $4.51 \pm 1.53$ | $4.82 \pm 1.79$ | 5.37 ± 1.80      |  |  |  |
|                          | h      | N.D.            | N.D.            | $5.54 \pm 1.68$ | $5.58 \pm 1.50$ | $6.47 \pm 1.63$  |  |  |  |
| Azadirachta indica var.  | dbh    | $3.38 \pm 1.44$ | $4.59 \pm 1.68$ | 4.69 ± 1.66     | $5.22 \pm 1.88$ | 5.29 ± 1.73      |  |  |  |
| siamensis.               | h      | N.D.            | N.D.            | $4.31 \pm 1.21$ | 5.24±1.14       | 5.98 ± 1.56      |  |  |  |
| Acacia auriculaeformis   | dbh    | $2.25 \pm 1.06$ | $3.11 \pm 1.53$ | 3.16 ± 1.59     | $4.16 \pm 1.80$ | 4.24 ± 1.91      |  |  |  |
|                          | h      | N.D.            | N.D.            | $4.55 \pm 1.50$ | $5.00 \pm 1.45$ | 5.61 ± 1.85      |  |  |  |

N.D. = not determined.

Table 2. Nutrient concentrations (percent of dry mass) and energy values (kcal/g) of 5-year-old plant tissues.

| Plant tissue             | N     | P     | K     | Ca   | Mg   | Energy |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis |       | _     |       | -    |      |        |
| Stem                     | 0.21  | 0.025 | 0.262 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 4.006  |
| Branch                   | 0.332 | 0.066 | 0.563 | 0.97 | 0.12 | 4.150  |
| Leaf                     | 1.627 | 0.083 | 1.063 | 1.97 | 0.21 | 4.694  |
| Leucaena leucocephala    |       |       |       |      |      |        |
| Stem                     | 0.542 | 0.025 | 0.500 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 3.801  |
| Branch                   | 0.612 | 0.033 | 0.750 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 3.931  |
| Leaf                     | 3.202 | 0.157 | 2.062 | 2.37 | 0.44 | 4.271  |
| Cassia siamea            |       |       |       |      |      |        |
| Stem                     | 0.385 | 0.033 | 0.406 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 4.238  |
| Branch                   | 0.602 | 0.056 | 0.500 | 1.12 | 0.08 | 3.881  |
| Leaf                     | 2.222 | 0.165 | 1.063 | 1.75 | 0.18 | 4.484  |
| Azadirachta indica var.  |       |       |       |      |      |        |
| siamensis                |       |       |       |      |      |        |
| Stem                     | 0.402 | 0.025 | 0.531 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 3.969  |
| Branch                   | 0.507 | 0.041 | 0.688 | 0.85 | 0.15 | 4.012  |
| Leaf                     | 1.855 | 0.115 | 1.250 | 1.75 | 0.50 | 4.237  |
| Acacia auriculaeformis   |       |       |       |      |      |        |
| Stem                     | 0.402 | 0.012 | 0.250 | 0.75 | 0.02 | 4.308  |
| Branch                   | 0.682 | 0.041 | 0.375 | 1.10 | 0.06 | 4.007  |
| Leaf                     | 2.695 | 0.132 | 1.250 | 1.22 | 0.26 | 4.796  |

Table 3. Oven-dry mass of various components of plots of 5 species at various ages in t/ha.

| Age (years) | Comonents | Eucalyptus<br>camaldulensis | Leucaena<br>leucocephala | Cassia<br>siamea    | Azadirachta<br>indica var.<br>siamensis | Acacia<br>auriculaeformis |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|
|             | Stem      | 13.842                      | 24.373                   | 14.457              | 10.625                                  | 6.012                     |
|             | Branch    | 1.710                       | 5.144                    | 3.911               | 1.469                                   | 2.419                     |
| 1 1/2       | Leaf      | 3.420                       | 5.011                    | 3.261               | 3.116                                   | 2.822                     |
|             | Total     | 18.972                      | 34.528                   | 21.529              | 15.210                                  | 11.253                    |
|             | Stem      | 31.800                      | 38.692                   | 19.841              | 17.098                                  | 9.934                     |
|             | Branch    | 3.435                       | 10.507                   | 5.963               | 2.867                                   | 5.087                     |
| 21/2        | Leaf      | 3.248                       | 4.079                    | 3.412               | 4.882                                   | 3.176                     |
|             | Total     | 38.483                      | 53.278                   | 29.216              | 24.847                                  | 18.197                    |
|             | Stem      | 45.952                      | 56.846                   | 23.995              | 19.993                                  | 16.015                    |
|             | Branch    | 3.179                       | 11.109                   | 6.134               | 1.741                                   | 5.815                     |
| 3           | Leaf      | 5.161                       | 2.935                    | 2.842               | 4.435                                   | 2.840                     |
|             | Total     | 54.292                      | 70.890                   | 32.917              | 26.169                                  | 24.670                    |
|             | Stem      | 71.471                      | 68.319                   | 28.447              | 27.307                                  | 23.154                    |
|             | Branch    | 5.508                       | 12.890                   | 7.123               | 3.917                                   | 7.244                     |
| 4           | Leaf      | 4.537                       | 4.661                    | 3.786               | 5.985                                   | 4.320                     |
|             | Total     | 81.516                      | 85.870                   | 39.356              | 37.209                                  | 34.718                    |
|             | Stem      | 96.772                      | 79.093                   | 34.846              | 41.847                                  | 30.104                    |
|             | Branch    | 5.958                       | 19.529                   | 9.199               | 5.031                                   | 10.676                    |
| 5           | Leaf      | 6.634                       | 4.374                    | 3.851               | 5.233                                   | 4.143                     |
|             | Total     | 109.364                     | 102.996                  | 47.8 <del>9</del> 6 | 52.111                                  | 44.923                    |

# E. camaldulensis (0.06).

Foliage mass for 5-year-old *E. camaldulensis* plots was about 6.6 t/ha which was higher than that for the other species. This is close to foliage mass of 5 to 27-year-old *E. grandis* plantations in northern New South Wales (BRADSTOCK, 1981). Foliage mass of 3-year-old *L. leucocephala* plots in this study was higher than that measured in northeast Thailand (PETMAK, 1983; BUNYAVEJCHEWIN, 1984).

Mean net above-ground biomass was 21.9, 20.6, 10.4, 9.6 and 9.0 t/ha/yr for E. camaldulensis, L. leucocephala, A. indica var. siamensis, C. siamea and A. auriculaeformis, respectively (Table 5). All species produced wood material more than 90%. Net above-ground energy accumulation was  $88.7 \times 10^6$  kcal/ha/yr for E. camaldulensis which was slightly higher than for L. leucocephala  $(79.2 \times 10^6$  kcal/ha/yr) and much higher than for the other three species. Mean annual

Table 4. Nutrient and energy content of 5-year-old plots.

| Out of the send service and |       | E    |       |       |      |                        |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------------------------|
| Species and component       | N     | P K  |       | Ca    | Mg   | Energy<br>(kcal/ha)    |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis    |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| Stem                        | 203.2 | 24.2 | 253.5 | 600.0 | 58.1 | $287.67 \times 10^6$   |
| Branch                      | 19.8  | 3.9  | 33.5  | 57.8  | 7.1  | $24.73 \times 10^6$    |
| Leaf                        | 107.9 | 5.5  | 70.5  | 130.7 | 13.9 | $31.14 \times 10^6$    |
| Total                       | 330.9 | 33.6 | 357.5 | 788.5 | 79.1 | 443.54×10 <sup>6</sup> |
| Leucaena leucocephala       |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| Stem                        | 428.7 | 19.8 | 395.5 | 371.7 | 47.5 | $300.63 \times 10^6$   |
| Branch                      | 119.5 | 6.4  | 146.5 | 82.0  | 15.6 | $76.77 \times 10^6$    |
| Leaf                        | 140.0 | 6.9  | 90.2  | 103.7 | 19.2 | $18.69 \times 10^6$    |
| Total                       | 688.2 | 33.1 | 632.2 | 557.4 | 82.3 | 396.09×10 <sup>6</sup> |
| Cassia siamea               |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| Stem                        | 134.2 | 11.5 | 141.5 | 250.9 | 10.4 | $147.68 \times 10^6$   |
| Branch                      | 55.4  | 5.1  | 46.0  | 103.0 | 7.4  | $35.70 \times 10^6$    |
| Leaf                        | 85.6  | 6.3  | 40.9  | 67.4  | 6.9  | $17.27 \times 10^6$    |
| Total                       | 275.2 | 22.9 | 228.4 | 421.3 | 24.7 | $200.65 \times 10^6$   |
| Azadirachta indica var.     |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| siamensis                   |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| Stem                        | 168.2 | 10.5 | 222.2 | 238.5 | 33.5 | $166.09 \times 10^6$   |
| Branch                      | 25.5  | 2.1  | 34.6  | 42.8  | 7.5  | $20.18 \times 10^6$    |
| Leaf                        | 97.1  | 6.0  | 65.4  | 91.6  | 26.2 | $22.17 \times 10^6$    |
| Total                       | 290.8 | 18.6 | 322.2 | 372.9 | 67.2 | $208.44 \times 10^6$   |
| Acacia auriculaeformis      |       |      |       |       |      |                        |
| Stem                        | 121.0 | 3.6  | 75.3  | 225.8 | 6.0  | $129.69 \times 10^6$   |
| Branch                      | 72.8  | 4.4  | 40.0  | 117.4 | 6.4  | $42.78 \times 10^6$    |
| Leaf                        | 111.6 | 5.5  | 51.8  | 50.5  | 10.8 | $19.87 \times 10^6$    |
| Total                       | 305.4 | 13.5 | 167.1 | 393.7 | 23.2 | $192.34 \times 10^6$   |

increment and energy content of *E. camaldulensis* were slightly higher than those of *E. nitens* and *Pinus radiata* (Table 6). *E. camaldulensis* had the highest mean annual increment of all species at age 5 years while for 3-year-old plots the highest mean annual increment was shown by *L. leucocephala*.

Total nutrient and energy content reflects the nutrient concentrations, total biomass and age of tissues. E. camaldulensis and L. leucocephala plots contained greater quantities of all elements than the other three species plots, due to the faster growth rates of these two species. The total phosphorus and magnesium contents in the E. camaldulensis and L. leucocephala plots were the same order of magnitude. The L. leucocephala plots contained about twice the nitrogen and potassium contents but less calcium content than the E. camaldulensis plots (Table 4). C. siamea,

| Table 5. Mean annu | al increment and | nutrient cost of energy. |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|

|  | E. camaldulensis L. leucocephala C. |        |                  | C. siame | siamea A. indica var. |        |                  | A. auriculaeformis |                  |        |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------|
|  | Stem +<br>branch                    | Total  | Stem +<br>branch | Total    | Stem +<br>branch      | Total  | Stem +<br>branch | Total              | Stem +<br>branch | Total  |
| Age (years)                            | 5                                   | 5      | 5                | 5        | 5                     | 5      | 5                | 5                  | 5                | 5      |
| Mean annual increment oven-dry (t/ha)  | 20.546                              | 21.873 | 19.724           | 20.599   | 8.809                 | 9.579  | 9.376            | 10.422             | 8.156            | 8.985  |
| Energy (10 kcal/ha/year                | 82.480                              | 88.708 | 75.48            | 79.218   | 36.676                | 40.130 | 37.254           | 41.688             | 34.494           | 38.468 |
| Nutrient cost per 10 <sup>7</sup> kcal |                                     |        |                  |          |                       |        |                  |                    |                  |        |
| N (kg)                                 | 5.41                                | 7.46   | 14.53            | 16.87    | 10.34                 | 13.71  | 10.40            | 13.95              | 11.24            | 15.88  |
| P (kg)                                 | 0.68                                | 0.76   | 0.69             | 0.84     | 0.90                  | 1.14   | 0.68             | 0.89               | 0.46             | 0.70   |
| K (kg)                                 | 6.96                                | 8.06   | 14. 36           | 15.96    | 10.22                 | 11.38  | 13.79            | 15.46              | 6.68             | 8.69   |
| Ca (kg)                                | 15.95                               | 17.78  | 12.02            | 14.07    | 19.30                 | 21.00  | 15.10            | 17.89              | 19.90            | 20.47  |
| Mg (kg)                                | 1.58                                | 1.78   | 1.67             | 2.08     | 0.97                  | 1.23   | 2.20             | 3.22               | 0.72             | 1.21   |
| Age (years)                            | 3                                   | 3      | 3                | 3        | 3                     | 3      | 3                | 3                  | 3                | 3      |
| Mean annual increment oven-dry (t/ha)  | 16.377                              | 18.097 | 22.652           | 23.630   | 10.043                | 10.990 | 7.245            | 8.723              | 7.277            | 8.233  |
| Energy (10 <sup>6</sup> kcal/ha/year)  | 64.074                              | 72.212 | 90.387           | 90.633   | 39.717                | 43.737 | 30.022           | 36.615             | 30.373           | 36.643 |

Table 6. Mean annual increment and nutrient cost of energy for 3 species in New Zealand (data from Madgwick et al., 1981).

|  | Pinus radiata    |       | E. n             | itens | E. fastigata     |       |  |
|--|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|--|
|  | Stem +<br>branch | Tatal | Stem +<br>branch | Total | Stem +<br>branch | Total |  |
| Age (years)                            | 17               | 17    | 4                | 4     | 4                | 4     |  |
| Mean annual increment                  |                  |       |                  |       |                  |       |  |
| oven-dry (t/ha)                        | 16               | 17    | 18               | 20    | 13               | 15    |  |
| Energy (10 <sup>6</sup> kcal/ha/year)  | 69.7             | 72.79 | 77.80            | 89.97 | 56.80            | 71.12 |  |
| Nutrient cost per 10 <sup>7</sup> kcal |                  |       |                  |       |                  |       |  |
| N (kg)                                 | 1.93             | 3.10  | 5.11             | 9.22  | 5.66             | 11.23 |  |
| P (kg)                                 | 0.46             | 0.59  | 0.42             | 0.63  | 0.38             | 0.71  |  |
| K (kg)                                 | 2.72             | 3.35  | 7.21             | 8.51  | 6.58             | 8.67  |  |
| Ca (kg)                                | 2.09             | 2.30  | 8.38             | 9.22  | 7.12             | 8.63  |  |
| Mg (kg)                                | 0.67             | 0.71  | 1.47             | 1.76  | 1.55             | 2.14  |  |

A. indica var. siamensis and A. auriculaeformis contained the same magnitude of total nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium, while A. indica var. siamensis contained greater quantities of potassium and magnesium. Mean annual increment of both total above-ground biomass and stem-plus-branch, and energy decreased in the order E. camaldulensis, L. leucocephala, A. indica var. siamensis, C. siamea and A. auriculaeformis.

Use of biomass as an energy source must concern the ecological impact of harvesting. The impact can be estimated by using "nutrient cost" of the energy value, the amount of nutrients removed per unit of energy harvested. Nutrient cost per energy value varied between nutrients. Phosphorus costs were approximately the same in all species except for *C. siamea*. The nitrogen cost of *L. leucocephala* was

about twice that of *E. camaldulensis* and slightly higher than the other tree species. All element costs, except the calcium cost for stem-plus-branch, of *E. camaldulensis* were the same as those for *Eucalyptus nitens* and *Eucalyptus fastigata* (Table 6). Leaf foliage in the stand decreased the nitrogen cost by 14 to 29%, phosphorus cost by 10 to 34%, potassium cost by 10 to 23%, calcium cost by 3 to 15% and magnesium cost by 11 to 40%.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Harry Wood for editing. We also thank the Department of Soil Science, Kasetsart University for the nutrient analyses and the Department of Animal Science, Kasetsart University for energy measurements.

### REFERENCES

- Bradstock, R. 1981. Biomass in an age series of *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations. *Aust. For. Res.* 11(2): 11 127. Bunyavejchewin, S. 1984. The relationship between planting distance and growth, above-ground biomass and firewood production in *Leucaena leucocephala* De Wit at Sakaerat, Pak Thong Chai, Nakhon Ratchasima. *Thai J. For.* 2(4): 307 319. (in Thai with English abstract).
- BUNYAVEJCHEWIN, S. and S. KIRATIPRAYOON. 1989. Primary production of plots of five young close-spaced fast-growing tree species, I. Biomass equations. *Nat. Hist. Bull. Siam Soc.* 37: 47 56.
- CHAKRAPHOLWARARIT, C. 1985. Yield-Density Effect of Eucalyptus camaldulensis Plantation. M.S. Thesis. Kasetsart Univ., Bangkok (in Thai with English abstract).
- MADGWICK, H.A.I., P. BEETS and S. GALLAGHER, 1981. Dry matter accumulation, nutrient and energy content of the above ground portion of 4-year-old stands of *Eucalyptus nitens* and *E. fastigata*. New Zealand J. For. Sci. 11(1): 53 59.
- MADGWICK, H.A.I., G. OLIVER and P. HOLTEN-ANDERSON. 1982. Above-ground biomass, nutrients, and energy content of trees in a second-growth stand of *Agathis australis*. New Zealand J. For Sci. 12(1): 3-6.
- PETMAK, P. 1983. Primary Productivity, Nutrient Cycling and Organic Matter Turnover of Tree Plantations after Agricultural Intercropping Practices in Northeast Thailand. Ph.D. dissertation. Univ. of the Philippines at Los Banos.

could reduce the model. As controlled an end alignity large or their area of a color of each of the controlled and the controle

# 경기에 가면 없다면 하면 되는 것이 되는 것이 없다.

To appear the American beautiful to edipage We also dente per Derechant. It was a few and the Derechant of the second to the Department of the second to the Department of the second to the Department of the second to the secon

#### 13 407 1381

(in process) In the Process of Appropries of Appropriate Conference of the Art 1 (2) in a conference of the Appropriate Con

er en en en en en 1900 de la company de la Remanda de la company de planta en la company de la company de la c La company de la company de la company de deputación de la company de la company de la company de la company d

Chart day of the talk of talk

consignation and the second second and the second s

Avidad Av

And a supplementary of all applications of the supplementary of the s